** For each component, please highlight the text corresponding to "Ready for publication", "Publishable with revision", or "Major revisions but opportunity to resubmit".

Component	Ready for	Publishable	Major	Comments
	publication	with	revisions but	
	_	revisions	opportunity	
			to resubmit	
Introduction	Strong introduction of	Conveys a topic but not the key	Does not adequately	
	topic's key	question(s).	convey topic.	
	question(s).	General thesis	Lacks adequate	
	Specific thesis	statement. Hints	thesis	
	statement.	at possible	statement. Does	
	Clearly	subtopics to be	not describe	
	delineates	reviewed.	subtopics to be	
	subtopics to be	Teviewea.	reviewed.	
	reviewed.		ieviewed.	
Literature	Contains a well-	Contains some	Does not	
Review	developed	discussion of	contain a	
Review	discussion of	previous	discussion of	
	previous	scholarship and	previous	
	scholarship and	what discussion	scholarship.	
	integrates that	there is, is not		
	discussion into	integrated into		
	their own work.	their own work.		
Focus and	Argument is	There is an	Argument is	
Development	clearly stated.	argument but all	unclear. The	
r	All parts of the	of the paper	use of sources	
	paper are	does not relate	and	
	clearly related	to proving the	organization of	
	and prove the	argument. Some	subtopics	
	argument	of the sources	neither relates	
	through the use	and / or	to nor	
	of sources and	subtopics do not	contributes to	
	organization of	relate the	clarity of	
	subtopics.	argument or do	argument.	
		not contribute		
		to the clarity of		
		the argument.		

Methodology	The	The	The	
wiemodology	methodology is	methodology is	methodology is	
	appropriate to	appropriate to	not appropriate	
	discipline and is	discipline but	to discipline or	
	clearly	the discussion	it is not clearly	
	explained.	of that	explained.	
	explained.	methodology is	explained.	
		unclear to the		
		reader.		
O	The sequence	The overall	Arrangement is	
Organization	-			
and Flow	and	arrangement is clear but	haphazard and difficult to	
	organization of			
	the paper	digressions can	follow. Paper	
	supports the	make the paper	strays	
	development of	difficult to	substantially	
	the argument	follow.	from topic.	
	and clarity of	Sometimes uses	Transitions are	
	the argument.	transitions and /	unclear or none	
	Strong	or the	existent.	
	transitions link	transitions		
	subtopics and	sometimes link		
	main topic.	the subtopics		
		and main topic.		
Support and	Strong use and	May lack either	Few primary	
Use of	varied mix of	primary sources	sources or	
Sources	primary sources	or secondary	secondary	
Sources	and secondary	literature and	scholarship	
	scholarship.	thus the thesis	supporting	
	Source material	is not supported	thesis. If used,	
	is effectively	as strongly as it	the source	
	integrated into	could. There are	material	
	and synthesized	instances when	frequently	
	in the author's	the source	substitutes for	
	writing.	material	the author's	
		substitutes for	ideas.	
		the author's		
		ideas.		
Conclusion	G. ·	Cursorily	Does not	
	Strong review	Cursority	Does not	
Conclusion	of key	reviews key	summarize	

	engagement with the thesis statement. Insightful discussion of impact of the research material on the topic.	somewhat engages with the thesis statement. May discuss superficially or not at all the impact of the researched material on the topic.	respect to the thesis statement. Does not discuss the impact of researched material on the topic.	
Style (Grammar and Mechanics)	The paper is free of grammatical, spelling, and punctuation errors. Sentences are clear, effective coherent; vocabulary is broad.	The paper has enough grammatical, spelling, and punctuation errors that it should be sent back to the author for revision. Some sentences are confusing or ineffective.	Grammatical, spelling, and punctuation errors substantially detract from paper. Sentences are incoherent.	
Citation and References (according to disciplinary specific style)	All references and citations are correctly written and present.	A few references and citations are missing or incorrectly written.	Reference and citation errors significantly detract from paper.	
Audience	Discipline specific jargon is clearly defined and the paper is understandable to other readers.	Some discipline specific jargon may not be defined and / or parts of the paper may not be understandable to other readers.	Discipline specific jargon is not defined and / or the paper is not understandable to other readers.	

Checklist of Errors Reference errors: no reference given where one is needed Punctuation and Capitalization errors Citation errors: order of authors, punctuation of the citation Verb problems: verb tense, verb-subject-article agreement Pronoun errors: which, that, who, whom Format errors: word spacing, margins, spacing, pagination Undefined abbreviations Non-professional writing/tone Lack of empirical evidence for points made / unsubstantiated arguments Other: _____ Reviewer's overall feedback and suggestions: Reviewer's final decision regarding publication: